Paris Branch Recreational Use Attainability Analysis

March 2005

Prepared for:

UAA Review Committee
Water Quality Monitoring & Assessment Section
Water Protection Program
MISSOURI DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES
205 Jefferson
Jefferson City, MO 65102-0176

Prepared by:
MEC WATER RESOURCES, INC.
1000 North College Avenue, Suite 4
Columbia, Missouri 65201

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. PROJECT BACKGROUND	1
II. STUDY AREA	1
III. METHODS AND MATERIALS	1
IV. RESULTS & DISCUSSION	2
V. USE RECOMMENDATION	7
VI. REFERENCES	7

LIST OF APPENDICIES

Appendix A MDNR Field Data Sheets

Appendix B Stream Morphology Information

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Two-Week Antecedent Rainfall from USGS 05514500 Cuivre River

near Troy, MO.

Table 2 Observed Streamflow Conditions During Paris Branch

Recreational UAA Survey

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Paris Branch UAA Study Area and Sites
Figure 2	Paris Branch at Rt. H (Downstream View)
Figure 3	Paris Branch at Rt. H (Upstream View)
Figure 4	Paris Branch at Rt. BB (Downstream View)
Figure 5	Paris Branch at Rt. BB (Upstream View)
Figure 6	Paris Branch at Shortline (Downstream View)
Figure 7	Paris Branch at Shortline (Upstream View)

I. Project Background

Paris Branch, a classified third-order tributary to the N. Fork Cuivre River, was evaluated for existing and attainable Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBCR) uses in October 2004. Using assessment methods prescribed by Missouri Department of Natural Resources guidance, MEC Water Resources, Inc. (MEC) staff conclude that WBCR is neither an existing or attainable use within surveyed reaches.

At the request of the Home Builders Association of Greater St. Louis (HBA), MEC assessed classified reaches of Paris Branch for existing, potential, and attainable WBCR uses. The assessment described herein is expected to meet or exceed the requirements set forth by the Missouri Department of Natural Resources for conducting a Recreational Use Attainability Analysis (UAA) (MDNR 2004).

II. Study Area

A three mile segment of Paris Branch (Figure 1) is a Class C water of the state and a 3rd order tributary to the North Fork Cuivre River (Cook 2000). Uses currently designated for Paris Branch include: Protection of Warm-Water Aquatic Life, Livestock and Wildlife Watering, Human Health Fish Consumption, and Secondary Contact Recreation. Draining a 4.7 mi.² watershed in west-central Lincoln County, Paris Branch is predominated by cool season grassland (44%), row-crop agriculture (38%), and upland deciduous forest (17%) according to 1993 Thematic Mapper imagery. The Paris Branch watershed is contained within the Cuivre River Basin (8 digit HUC 07110008) and State assigned water body identification number is 0176.

III. Methods and Materials

Procedures developed by MDNR for conducting Recreational UAAs (MDNR 2004) were the primary reference for this study. In summary, MDNR UAA procedures contain the minimum elements listed below:

- Survey should generally be conducted during the regulatory recreational season (April 1 to October 31);
- Surveys should be conducted during baseflow conditions;
- Recreational assessments should be performed at a minimum of three publicly accessible sites along the stream reach of interest;
- All sites shall be marked on a 1:24,000 USGS topographic map
- A photographic record of each site that includes upstream and downstream views, in addition to any evidence of observed or potential recreational uses; and
- Interviews of persons present during the time of survey and nearby-residents.

In addition to MDNR minimum requirements, MEC staff collected the following data within an assessment reach having a total length of approximately twenty times bankfull width:

- Stream hydrogeometry (width, depth, velocity, bank slope);
- Riffle, pool, run (stream mesotype) composition; and
- Riparian corridor characteristics


Hydrogeometry measurements were obtained along three equally spaced cross-sections within each mesotype unless one mesotype dominated the entire upstream or downstream reach, e.g. one large bridge scour pool. Five equally spaced cross-sections were taken for situations where a single mesotype dominated the assessment reach. Streamflow measurements were obtained using a Price AA 'Pygmy' velocity meter and calibrated wading rod. Bank slopes were measured using a Suunto brand clinometer.

IV. Results & Discussion

The following discussion is provided to aid decision-makers in evaluating appropriate existing or potential recreational uses for Paris Branch. Although summarized in the following paragraphs, raw data collected during the survey is contained in Appendix A along with field data sheets required by MDNR UAA protocols. Additional data collected during the survey are included in Appendix B.

Streamflow and Weather Conditions

Three sites (Figure 1) within classified sections of Paris Branch were assessed on October 25, 2004 using methods described in section IV; Route H bridge (39.0672, -91.06424), Route BB bridge (39.0556, -91.02025), and the Shortline Road access (39.0545, -91.0197). Surveys were conducted during baseflow conditions as evidenced by precipitation data from USGS gage station 05514500 Cuivre River near Troy, MO and streamflow measurements taken in Paris Branch the day of the survey (Tables 1 & 2). Hydrologic conditions observed during the survey are believed representative due to a relatively small watershed area (4.7 mi.²) that limits periods of sustained baseflow, and the definition of Class C waters provided in State regulations.



Weather conditions during the time of the study were stable with the last measured rainfall occurring ten days prior to the evaluation. Air temperatures were approximately 78°F and skies were clear and sunny. Weather conditions are not believed to have precluded or limited recreational opportunities during the survey.

Table 1. Two-Week Antecedent Rainfall from USGS 05514500 Cuivre River near Troy, MO

Date	Precipitation Total
(M/D/Y)	(inches)
10/10/2004	0.0
10/11/2004	0.0
10/12/2004	1.0
10/13/2004	0.0
10/14/2004	0.4
10/15/2004	0.0
10/16/2004	0.0
10/17/2004	0.0
10/18/2004	0.0
10/19/2004	0.0
10/20/2004	0.0
10/21/2004	0.0
10/22/2004	0.0
10/23/2004	0.0
10/24/2004	0.0
10/25/2004	0.0

Table 2. Observed Streamflow Conditions During Paris Branch Recreational UAA Survey

Date	Site	Streamflow
(M/D/Y)	(name)	(cfs)
10/25/2004	Rt. H	<0.1
10/25/2004	Rt. BB	⟨0.1
10/25/2004	Shortline Rd.	0.4

Site Characterization


Sites surveyed as part of this study represent the only publicly accessible areas along classified segments of Paris Branch. Study results are discussed for each site to provide a description of differences between assessment reaches.

Site #1. Lincoln County Route H Bridge Crossing

The landscape near the Highway H bridge crossing is an open grazing pasture with a fence (Figure 2) across the stream channel. Livestock were observed grazing near the stream during the survey. Banks are gently sloping and are vegetated with grasses and forbs (Figure 3). Riparian areas are composed of cool-season grasses associated with the grazing pasture. Channel substrate was a mud and clay mixture. Limited algae deposits within pooled reaches may deter human use.

Figure 2. Paris Br. at Rt. H (Downstream View) Figure 3. Paris Br. at Rt. H (Upstream View)





Mean depth along a 120 ft. assessment reach was 0.3 ft as determined from fifteen transects (Appendix B). The maximum depth observed at this location was 2.0 feet in a small pool upstream of the road access. There was no visible flow within the stream channel.

Livestock watering was the only observed or evidenced human use at this site. Fences impede public access to much of the assessment reach. No individuals were seen or found to be available for interviews near the Route H bridge during the survey.

MEC staff believe that Whole Body Contact Recreation (WBCR) is neither an existing or an attainable use at this site based on limited access to the stream imposed by fences, absence of observed recreational uses, and low flow shallow conditions.

Site #2. Lincoln County Route BB Bridge Crossing

The road crossing at Route BB is located near the small village of Davis, MO. Stream banks near the road are gently sloping and relatively easy to access (Figures 4 & 5). Riparian areas are wide and open and primarily vegetated by the upland deciduous forest complex. The stream channel was observed to be a mixture of cobble and sand. Mean depth along a 600 ft. assessment reach was 0.2 ft as determined from eight transects (Appendix B). The maximum depth observed at this location was 1.9 feet in a small pool downstream of the road access. There was no visible flow within the stream channel.

There were no observed or evidenced human uses at this site. No individuals were seen or found to be available for interviews near the Route BB bridge or the village of Davis during the survey. MEC staff believe that WBCR is neither an existing or an attainable use at this site based on absence of observed recreational uses and low flow shallow conditions.

Figure 4. Paris Br. at Rt. BB (Downstream View)



Figure 5. Paris Br. at Rt. BB (Upstream View)



Site #3. Shortline Road Access

Shortline Road is a small gravel road off Route BB that leads up to a private drive near the confluence of Paris branch and the North Fork Cuivre River. Stream banks are steep, sparsely vegetated, and covered with rocks and brush

(Figures 6 & 7). Riparian area is greater than 100 feet wide on both sides of the stream and consists of large, mature trees. Stream substrate was approximately 80% cobble, 10% sand, and 10% silt.

Mean depth along a 500 ft. assessment reach was 0.3 ft as determined from seventeen transects (Appendix B). The maximum depth observed at this location was 1.4 feet in a small pool upstream of the road access. Observed streamflow was 0.42 cfs and a mean velocity of 0.38 fps.

There were no observed or evidenced human uses at this site. No individuals were seen or found to be available for interviews near the Shortline Rd. access during the survey. MEC staff believe that WBCR is neither an existing or an attainable use at this site based on absence of observed recreational uses and low flow shallow conditions.

Figure 6. Paris Br. at Shortline (Downstream View) Figure 7. Paris Br. at Shortline (Upstream View)





V. Use Recommendation

Surveyed reaches of Paris Branch do not currently support WBCR uses due to the absence of observed or evidenced human uses and limited access resultant from fences and/or steep slopes. Furthermore, WBCR uses in Paris Branch are not attainable according to depth criteria associated with ephemeral, intermittent, or low flow conditions set forth in MDNR UAA guidance.

VI. References

Cook, R. 2000. Code of State Regulations; Missouri Water Quality Standards, Title 10, Division 20, Chapter 7.

Missouri Department of Natural Resources. 2004. Recreational Use Attainability Analysis Protocol. Water Protection Program, Jefferson City, MO.

Appendix A

MDNR Field Data Sheets

Data Sheet A - Water Body Identification

Water Body Name: (from USGS 7.5' quad) Paris Brunch
8-digit HUC: 07110008
Missouri WBID #: 176
County: Lindn
Upstream Legal Description: Mouth
Downstream Legal Description: 31,50 N, 1 W
Upstream Coordinates: (UGS 84, ddd.ddddd) 39, 0672, -91, 06424
Downstream Coordinates: (UGS 84, ddd.dddd) 39.0545, -91.0197
Discharger Facility Name(s):
Discharger Permit Number(s):
Number of Sites Evaluated: 3
Name of Surveyor and Telephone Number: David Carani 573 - 443 - 4100
Organization: MEC Water Resources, Inc
Position: Environmental Specialist

I, the undersigned, hereby affirm to the best of my knowledge, that all information reported on this UAA datasheet is true and accurate.

			,		
Signed:	Control of the second s	Date: 10	1/25	12004	

Data Sheet B - Site Characterization

(A separate data sheet must be completed for each site)

			1 21 2		
	76		Site Location De	escription:	
Site GPS Coordinates:		91.02025	Paris B.	ranch a Highn	a, BB
Date & Time: 10/25	104 15		Facility Name:		
Personnel: David	Carani		Permit Number:		
Current Weather Condit	ions: 78° sun	ng	Weather Conditi	ons for Past 7 days:	
Photo Ids: Upstream:	38 Do	wnstream: 3	9 Other	:: 40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Uses Observed*:				,	
☐ Swimming	☐ Skin diving	□ scu	JBA diving	☐ Tubing	☐ Water skiing
☐ Wind surfing	☐ Kayaking	☐ Boa	ting	□ Wading	☐ Rafting
☐ Hunting	☐ Trapping	□ Fish	ing	None of the above	□ Other:
Describe: (include numb	er of individuals rea	creating, freque	ncy of use, photo-	documentation of evidence	of recreational uses, etc.)
Surrounding Condition tems of interest.)	s*: (Mark all that p	promote or impo	ede recreational us	es. Attach photos of evider	nce or unusual
☐ City/county parks	☐ Playgrounds	☐ MDC cons	servation lands	☐ Urban areas	☐ Campgrounds
☐ Boating accesses	☐ State parks	☐ National fo	orests	☐ Nature trails	☐ Stairs/walkway
☐ No trespass sign	☐ Fence	☐ Steep slope	S	Other: gently sk	
Evidence of Human Use	2*:				1)
Roads Highway BB	☐ Foot paths/prin	its 🗆 Doc	ck/platform	☐ Livestock Watering	□ RV / ATV Tracks
☐ Rope swings	☐ Camping Sites	☐ Fire	pit/ring	☐ NPDES Discharge	☐ Fishing Tackle
☐ Other:					

Site Locations Map(s): Attach a map of entire segment with assessment sites clearly labeled. Mark any other items that may be of interest. (Include photographs)

^{*}Some of this information is not intended to directly influence a decision on any one particular recreational use analysis but may point to conditions that need further analysis or that effect another use.

Highway BB (cont)

□ Riffle W	iew Physic /idth (ft):		Length (ft):	Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth	(ft):
□ Run W	/idth (ft):		Length (ft):	Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth	(ft):
M Pool W	/idth (ft): /	7,2	Length (ft): 174	Avg. Depth	(ft): ,5%	?	Max. Depth ((ft): 1.9
□ Flow Pi	resent?]Yes 🎘	I No	Estimated (f	t³/sec):			
Downstream	ı View Phy	sical Dir	nensions:					
	idth (ft):		Length (ft):	Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth (
□ Run W	idth (ft):		Length (ft):	Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth (ft):
Pool W	ridth (ft):	5.3	Length (ft): 87,6	, Avg. Depth	(ft): , 4/J		Max. Depth (ft): , 9
☐ Flow Pr	esent?		No	Estimated (f	t ³ /sec):			
ter Charact								
O3	Ceu	age/	☐ Musky ☐	Chemical	None None	1	Other:	
Odor:		,				***************************************		
Color:	⊠ Clea			Gray	☐ Milky	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□ Other:	
	Z Clea	lge	□ Solids □	Gray Fine sediments Foam	☐ Milky ☑ None ☑ None			
Color: Bottom Deposi Surface Deposi nments: Please information is prehensive under	it: Slucit: Oil ase attach as not to be userstanding of	additional	□ Solids □	Fine sediments Foam Iding informational use design y, this informatio	None None non from ination but ration is not inten	terviev	☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other: vs) to this for provide a more irectly influent	e ce a

September 29, 2004

Data Sheet B - Site Characterization

(A separate data sheet must be completed for each site)

Missouri WBID #:	76		Site Location I	Description:	
Site GPS Coordinates:	39.06720 9	1.06	424 Paris Bro	anch a Highway F	+
Date & Time: 10/25	104 1400		Facility Name:		
Personnel: David	Carani		Permit Number	r:	
Current Weather Cond	itions: 78° 54	nng	Weather Condi	tions for Past 7 days:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Photo Ids: Upstream:		wnstre	am: 33 Oth	er: 34,36,37	
Uses Observed*:					
☐ Swimming	☐ Skin diving		☐ SCUBA diving	☐ Tubing	☐ Water skiing
☐ Wind surfing	☐ Kayaking		☐ Boating	□ Wading	☐ Rafting
☐ Hunting	☐ Trapping		☐ Fishing	M None of the above	C Other
Doggriba, (in aluda mum	her of individuals re	creatin	g frequency of use photo	documentation of anid-	of sometime land
Describe: (include num			C. US (5 065		of recreational uses, etc.)
Surrounding Conditio	no	re	C. USES 065		
	ns*: (Mark all that	promot	e or impede recreational u	uses. Attach photos of evide	nce or unusual
Surrounding Conditionems of interest.)	ns*: (Mark all that	promot	e or impede recreational u	uses. Attach photos of evide.	nce or unusual Campgrounds
Surrounding Conditionems of interest.)	ns*: (Mark all that	promot	e or impede recreational u DC conservation lands ational forests	uses. Attach photos of evide. Urban areas Nature trails	nce or unusual
currounding Conditionems of interest.) City/county parks Boating accesses	ns*: (Mark all that Playgrounds State parks	promot	e or impede recreational u	uses. Attach photos of evide.	nce or unusual Campgrounds
Currounding Conditionems of interest.) City/county parks Boating accesses No trespass sign	ns*: (Mark all that Playgrounds State parks	promot	e or impede recreational u DC conservation lands ational forests	uses. Attach photos of evide. Urban areas Nature trails Other:	nce or unusual Campgrounds Stairs/walkway
currounding Condition ems of interest.) City/county parks Boating accesses No trespass sign	ns*: (Mark all that Playgrounds State parks Fence	promot M N Sto	e or impede recreational u DC conservation lands ational forests eep slopes	uses. Attach photos of evide. Urban areas Nature trails	nce or unusual Campgrounds

Site Locations Map(s): Attach a map of entire segment with assessment sites clearly labeled. Mark any other items that may be of interest. (Include photographs)

*Some of this information is not intended to directly influence a decision on any one particular recreational use analysis but may point to conditions that need further analysis or that effect another use.

eam Mor	nholom	.		ARID #_/ /							
			al Di	nensions:							
⊠ Riffle	Width (.2	Length (ft):	4.8	Avg. Depth	(ft):	. 03	Max. Depth (ft): , /	
□ Run	Width (ft):		Length (ft):		Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth (1	ft):	
🔼 Pool	Width (ft): 8		Length (ft):	20,4	Avg. Depth	(ft):	.79	Max. Depth (ft): 2,0)
☐ Flow	Present'	· [] Yes	⊠ No		Estimated (f	t³/sec)	:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Downstres	am Vie	w Phy	zsical i	Dimensions:							,
☐ Riffle	Width (JICHI .	Length (ft):		Avg. Depth	(ft):		Max. Depth (f	 ft):	
🔼 Run	Width (ft):		Length (ft):	92.4	Avg. Depth	(ft):	22	Max. Depth (f	······	
▼ Pool	Width (ft):		Length (ft):	2.4	Avg. Depth	(ft):	, 21	Max. Depth (f		
☐ Flow	Present?] Yes	5k No		Estimated (f	·			- , , , , ,	
minin	nal a	19 <i>a1</i>	900	nt of vegetation	or argan gro	wur at the asse	ssmen	t site)			
Odor:		□ Sew		☐ Musky	□ CI	nemical	ØN	one	☐ Other:		
Color:		□ Clea	ır	🛛 Green	□ Gr	ay	□м	ilky	☐ Other:		
Bottom Dep	osit:	⊐ Slud	lge	□ Solids	. \square Fi	ne sediments	⊠N	one	☐ Other:	····	
Surface Dep	osit:	□ Oil		⊠ Scum	□ Fo	am	□N	one	☐ Other:		
nments: P	lease at	tach a	ed solel water c	nal comment	s (includi	ng informati	on fro	om intervi	Other:	;	
e undersignsheet is tr	gned, h ue and	ereby accu	affiri	n to the best	of my kr	owledge, th	at al	l informa	tion reported	on this (J AA
e undersignsheet is tr	gned, h	ereby accu	affiri	n to the best	of my kr	owledge, th	at al	l informa		on this (

Page 19

September 29, 2004

Data Sheet B - Site Characterization

(A separate data sheet must be completed for each site)

			1 41. 1			
Missouri WBID #: /			Site Locat	tion De	scription:	
Site GPS Coordinates:	39,05449	91.019	774 Paris 1	Brand	4 2 Shortline	Rd
Date & Time: 10/25	104 15	45-	Facility N			
Personnel: Davia			Permit Nu	mber:		
Current Weather Condi	ions: 78° 50	inny	Weather C	Conditio	ons for Past 7 days:	
Photo Ids: Upstream:	42 D	ownstream	n: 43	Other:	44, 45	-
Uses Observed*:						
☐ Swimming	☐ Skin diving		□ SCUBA diving		☐ Tubing	☐ Water skiing
☐ Wind surfing	☐ Kayaking		☐ Boating		☐ Wading	☐ Rafting
☐ Hunting	☐ Trapping		☐ Fishing		None of the above	☐ Other:
Describe: (include numb	er of individuals re	ecreating,	frequency of use, p	hoto-d	ocumentation of evidence	of recreational uses, etc.)
Surrounding Condition items of interest.)	s*: (Mark all that	promote	or impede recreation	onal use	s. Attach photos of eviden	nce or unusual
☐ City/county parks	☐ Playgrounds		OC conservation lan	ds	☐ Urban areas	☐ Campgrounds
☐ Boating accesses	☐ State parks	□ Nat	ional forests		☐ Nature trails	☐ Stairs/walkway
☐ No trespass sign	☐ Fence	⊠ Stee	ep slopes		☐ Other:	
Evidence of Human Use	·*•		·			
Roads Shortling Rd.	☐ Foot paths/pri	nts	☐ Dock/platform		☐ Livestock Watering	□ RV / ATV Tracks
☐ Rope swings	☐ Camping Sites	3	☐ Fire pit/ring		☐ NPDES Discharge	☐ Fishing Tackle
Other:						
		······································			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Site Locations Map(s): Attach a map of entire segment with assessment sites clearly labeled. Mark any other items that may be of interest. (Include photographs)

^{*}Some of this information is not intended to directly influence a decision on any one particular recreational use analysis but may point to conditions that need further analysis or that effect another use.

Shortline Rd. (cont)

	v Physica		nensions:	***********					
⊠ Riffle Widt	h(ft): 3	5	Length (ft):	75	Avg. Depth	(ft):	10	Max. Depth (ft):	, ₂₂
⊠ Run Widt	h (ft):	0,6	Length (ft):	50	Avg. Depth	(ft):	.40	Max. Depth (ft):	1.21
Ŋ Pool Widt	n (ft): 15	7.3	Length (ft):	125	Avg. Depth		, 33	Max. Depth (ft):	1.40
☐ Flow Prese	nt? 💢	Yes	□ No	····	Estimated (f	ft³/sec):	.42		
Downstream V	iew Physi	ical l	Dimensions:						
D Riffle Widtl	n (ft):	. 7	Length (ft):	105	Avg. Depth	(ft):	15	Max. Depth (ft):	.50
□ Run Widtl	ı (ft):		Length (ft);		Avg. Depth	1	· /	Max. Depth (ft):	
D Pool Width	(ft): / <u>/</u>	•	Length (ft):	145	Avg. Depth	(ft): , 3	33	Max. Depth (ft):	. 92
☐ Flow Prese	it?	es.	⊠ No		Estimated (fi	t ³ /sec):			
80 % Cobbl		%.	Id up to 100%.) Gravel 10	% San	d)0	% Silt		% Mud/Clay	% Bedrock
uatic Vegetatio	e n*: (note a	moun	Gravel 10 t of vegetation o	or algal grov	wth at the asse			% Mud/Clay	% Bedrock
iatic Vegetatio	e n*: (note a	moun	Gravel 10 t of vegetation o	or algal grov	wth at the asse		te)	% Mud/Clay	% Bedrock
ter Characteric Odor: Color:	n*: (note a	moun	t of vegetation of the control of th	or algal grov	with at the asse	ssment si	te)		% Bedrock
ter Characteric Odor: Color:	n*: (note a	moun ark al	fravel 10 t of vegetation of 10 ne t that apply.)	or algal grov	with at the asse	ssment si	te)	□ Other:	% Bedrock
ter Characteric Odor: Color: Bottom Deposit: Surface Deposit:	n*: (note a	moun ark al	t of vegetation of NOne that apply.) Musky Green Solids Scum	or algal grov	emical es sediments	None Milky None None None	(e)	☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other: ☐ Other:	% Bedroc
ter Characteris Odor: Color: Bottom Deposit: Surface Deposit: nments: Please s information is not brehensive understation on the recreation	stics*: (Maximus Clear Dil	moun ark al e litior solely ter cc sis bu	t of vegetation of the company of th	□ Che □ Gra □ Fine □ Foa (includin a recreation equently, th onditions th	emical estimates g information al use designation information at need further	IX None ☐ Milky IX None IX None IX None on from ation but r is not inter analysis	intervie	☐ Other: ☐ Other:	

September 29, 2004

Page 19

Appendix B

Stream Morphology Information

Appendix B. Stream Morphology Information

Paris Branch at Route H

Length of	Assessment Reach (ft.)	120		
Transect	Reach Type	Type Length	Mean Depth	Maximum Depth
(#)	(Riffle, Pool, Run, Dry)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
1	Pool		0.6	0.88
2	Pool	20.4	1.0	2
3	Pool		0.78	1.1
4	Riffle		0.03	0.1
5	Riffle	4.8	0.04	0.1
6	Riffle		0.02	0.05
7	Run		0.27	0.61
8	Run	34.8	0.29	0.62
9	Run		0.3	0.52
10	Pool		0.21	0.42
11	Pool	2.4	0.27	0.51
12	Pool		0.14	0.35
13	Run		0.11	0.32
14	Run	57.6	0.17	0.35
15	Run		0.15	0.44

Maximum Observed Depth (ft.)	2.0
Mean Assessment Reach Depth (ft.)	0.3

Paris Branch at Route BB

Length of Ass	sessment Reach (ft.)	600		
Transect	Reach Type	Type Length	Mean Depth	Maximum Depth
(#)	(Riffle, Pool, Run, Dry)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
1	Pool	174	0.78	1.2
2	Pool		0.7	1.22
3	Pool		0.55	0.9
4	Pool		0.2	0.41
5	Pool		0.7	1.86
6	Pool		0.62	0.9
7	Pool	87.6	0.41	0.8
8	Pool		0.21	0.4
Observation	Dry	338.4	0	0

Maximum Observed Depth (ft.)	1.9
Mean Assessment Reach Depth (ft.)	0.2

Paris Branch at Shortline Rd.

Length o	of Assessment Reach (ft.)	500		
Transect	Reach Type	Type Length	Mean Depth	Maximum Depth
(#)	(Riffle, Pool, Run, Dry)	(ft.)	(ft.)	(ft.)
1	Riffle		0.08	0.21
2	Riffle	75	0.11	0.22
3	Riffle] [0.11	0.2
4	Pool		0.31	0.68
5	Pool	125	0.43	1.39
6	Pool		0.26	0.48
7	Run		0.26	0.5
8	Run	50	0.35	0.72
9	Run		0.60	1.21
10	Riffle		0.08	0.15
11	Riffle	105	0.13	0.25
12	Riffle		0.23	0.5
13	Pool		0.21	0.51
14	Pool		0.69	0.92
15	Pool	145	0.13	0.2
16	Pool] [0.26	0.74
17	Pool]	0.35	0.83

Maximum Observed Depth (ft.)	1.4
Mean Assessment Reach Depth (ft.)	0.3